

ELLIPTIC WP-BAILEY TRANSFORM AND THETA HYPERGEOMETRIC SERIES

Ravindra Kumar Yadav

Department of Mathematics, GLA University, Mathura-281406 (U.P.), India

E-mail: ravindra.yadav@gla.ac.in, rkyadav81@yahoo.com

Abstract. In this paper, idea of WP-Bailey transform has been extended to elliptic WP-Bailey transform and it has been applied to establish certain interesting summation and transformation formulas for elliptic and theta hypergeometric series.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 33D15, 33D10, 11A35, 11F27.

Keywords and phrases: Elliptic hypergeometric series, Theta hypergeometric series, WP-Bailey transform, Elliptic WP-Bailey transform, summation formula, transformation formula.

1. Introduction, Notations and Definitions

Bailey in 1947 established a remarkable lemma which has been widely used for obtaining transformation formulas for ordinary hypergeometric series as well as for basic hypergeometric series. Andrews [1] generalized Bailey pair and introduced WP-Bailey pair, WP-Bailey chain and WP-Bailey tree. Making use of WP-Bailey pairs, several Mathematicians attempted to establish new transformations and identities for basic hypergeometric series. The elliptic analogues of hypergeometric series were introduced by Frenkel and Turaev [3] in their study of elliptic $6j$ -symbols. These $6j$ -symbols, which correspond to certain elliptic solution of the Yang-Baxter equation found by Baxter [2] can be expressed in terms of elliptic generalizations of terminating, balanced, very-well-poised ${}_{10}\phi_9$ series. Warnaar [12] introduced the elliptic analogue of the WP-Bailey transform and used it to establish new transformation formulas for theta and elliptic hypergeometric series. Such type of works have been done in [1][5][6][7][8] and [9]. In this paper, using elliptic WP-Bailey transform, we have established more transformation and summation formulas for elliptic and theta hypergeometric series.

A modified Jacobi's theta function is defined by [4,(11.2),p.303]

$$\theta(x; p) = (x; p)_{\infty} \left(\frac{p}{x}; p \right)_{\infty}$$

$$\text{and } \theta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m; p) = \theta(x_1; p) \theta(x_2; p) \dots \theta(x_m; p).$$

where $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \neq 0$.

An elliptic or theta shifted factorial is defined by,

$$(a; q, p)_n = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0 \\ \theta(a; p)\theta(aq; p)\dots\theta(aq^{n-1}; p), & \text{for } n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and } (a; q, p)_{-n} = \frac{(-1)^n q^{n(n+1)/2}}{a^n \left(\frac{q}{a}; q, p\right)_n}.$$

$$\text{Also, } (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; q, p)_n = (a_1; q, p)_n (a_2; q, p)_n \dots (a_r; q, p)_n,$$

where $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r \neq 0$.

Following Spiridonov [10] an elliptic hypergeometric series with base q and nome p is defined by

$${}_{r+1}E_r \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r+1}; q, p; z \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r \end{matrix} \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r+1}; q, p)_n z^n}{(q, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r; q, p)_n}$$

and very well-poised theta hypergeometric series is defined by

$${}_{r+1}V_r [a_1; a_6, a_7, \dots, a_{r+1}; q, p; z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta(a_1 q^{2n}; p) (a_1, a_6, \dots, a_{r+1}; q, p)_n (zq)^n}{\theta(a_1; p) \left(q, \frac{a_1 q}{a_6}, \frac{a_1 q}{a_7}, \dots, \frac{a_1 q}{a_{r+1}}; q, p \right)_n}, \quad (1)$$

where for convergence reasons we require the ${}_{r+1}V_r$ to terminate. The rationale behind the above labeling of the ${}_{r+1}V_r$ series is that [17]

$$\frac{\theta(a_1 q^{2n}; p)}{\theta(a_1; p)} = \frac{\left(q\sqrt{a_1}, -q\sqrt{a_1}, q\sqrt{\frac{a_1}{p}}, -q\sqrt{a_1 p}; q, p \right)_n (-q)^n}{\left(\sqrt{a_1}, -\sqrt{a_1}, -\sqrt{\frac{a_1}{p}}, \sqrt{a_1 p}; q, p \right)_n}. \quad (2)$$

A ${}_{r+1}V_r$ series is called balanced if $a_6 \dots a_{r+1} q = (a_1 q)^{\frac{(r-5)}{2}}$. All known identities for elliptic hypergeometric series are both balanced and very-well-poised.

If the argument z in ${}_{r+1}V_r$ is 1 then we suppress 1 and it is denoted by,

$${}_{r+1}V_r [a_1; a_6, a_7, \dots, a_{r+1}; q, p]. \quad (3)$$

We shall make use of following summation formula in our analysis,

$${}_{10}V_9 [a; b, c, d, e, q^{-n}; q, p] = \frac{\left(aq, \frac{aq}{bc}, \frac{aq}{bd}, \frac{aq}{cd}; q, p \right)_n}{\left(\frac{aq}{b}, \frac{aq}{c}, \frac{aq}{d}, \frac{aq}{bcd}; q, p \right)_n}, \quad (4)$$

provided that $bcd e = a^2 q^{n+1}$. [4;(11.2.25),p.307]

Elliptic Extension of WP-Bailey Lemma:

Following Warnaar [12] elliptic extension of WP-Bailey pair is defined as,

A pair of sequences $\langle \alpha_n(a, k; q, p), \beta_n(a, k; q, p) \rangle$ is said to be elliptic WP-Bailey pair if

$$\beta_n(a, k; q, p) = \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{\left(\frac{k}{a}; q, p \right)_{n-r} (k; q, p)_{n+r}}{(q; q, p)_{n-r} (aq; q, p)_{n+r}} \alpha_r(a, k; q, p). \quad (5)$$

Similarly, a pair of sequences $\langle \gamma_n(a, k; q, p), \delta_n(a, k; q, p) \rangle$ is said to be elliptic conjugate WP-Bailey pair if

$$\gamma_n(a, k; q, p) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{k}{a}; q, p \right)_r (k; q, p)_{2n+r}}{(q; q, p)_r (aq; q, p)_{2n+r}} \delta_{r+n}(a, k; q, p), \quad (6)$$

provided the infinite series is convergent.

Again, following Bailey lemma we have,

If $\langle \alpha_n(a, k; q, p), \beta_n(a, k; q, p) \rangle$ is an elliptic WP-Bailey pair and $\langle \gamma_n(a, k; q, p), \delta_n(a, k; q, p) \rangle$ is corresponding elliptic conjugate WP-Bailey pair then under suitable convergence conditions,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n(a, k; q, p) \gamma_n(a, k; q, p) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n(a, k; q, p) \delta_n(a, k; q, p). \quad (7)$$

Warnaar Lemma:

(Elliptic WP Bailey transform) For a and k indeterminates the following two equations are equivalent.

$$\beta_n(a, k; q, p) = \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{\left(\frac{k}{a}; q, p\right)_{n-r} (k; q, p)_{n+r}}{(q; q, p)_{n-r} (aq; q, p)_{n+r}} \alpha_r(a, k; q, p), \quad (8)$$

$$\alpha_n(a, k; q, p) = \frac{\theta(aq^{2n}; p)}{\theta(a; p)} \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{\theta(aq^{2r}; p) \left(\frac{a}{k}; q, p\right)_{n-r} (a; q, p)_{n+r}}{\theta(k; p) (q; q, p)_{n-r} (kq; q, p)_{n+r}} \left(\frac{k}{a}\right)^{n-r} \beta_r(a, k; q, p). \quad (9)$$

Spiridonov's [10] theorems for constructing elliptic WP-Bailey pairs:

Theorem 1: If $\langle \alpha_n(a, k; q, p), \beta_n(a, k; q, p) \rangle$ is an elliptic WP Bailey pair, then so is the pair $\langle \alpha'_n(a, k; q, p), \beta'_n(a, k; q, p) \rangle$ given by,

$$\alpha'_n(a, k; q, p) = \frac{(b, c; q, p)_n}{\left(\frac{aq}{b}, \frac{aq}{c}; q, p\right)_n} \left(\frac{aq}{bc}\right)^n \alpha_n\left(a, \frac{bck}{aq}; q, p\right), \quad (10)$$

$$\beta'_n(a, k; q, p) = \frac{\left(\frac{ck}{a}, \frac{bk}{a}; q, p\right)_n}{\left(\frac{aq}{b}, \frac{aq}{c}; q, p\right)_n} \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{\theta\left(\frac{bck}{aq} q^{2r}; p\right) (b, c; q, p)_r \left(\frac{aq}{bc}; q, p\right)_{n-r}}{\theta\left(\frac{bck}{aq}; p\right) \left(\frac{ck}{a}, \frac{bk}{a}; q, p\right)_r (q; q, p)_{n-r}} \times \frac{(k; q, p)_{n+r}}{\left(\frac{bck}{a}; q, p\right)_{n+r}} \left(\frac{aq}{bc}\right)^r \beta_r\left(a, \frac{bck}{aq}; q, p\right). \quad (11)$$

Theorem 2: If $\langle \alpha_n(a, k; q, p), \beta_n(a, k; q, p) \rangle$ is an elliptic WP Bailey pair, then so is the pair $\langle \alpha'_n(a, k; q, p), \beta'_n(a, k; q, p) \rangle$ given by,

$$\alpha'_n(a^2, k; q^2, p^2) = \alpha_n\left(a, \frac{k}{aq}; q, p\right), \quad (12)$$

and

$$\beta'_n(a^2, k; q^2, p^2) = \frac{\left(-\frac{k}{a}; q, p\right)_{2n}}{(-aq; q, p)_{2n}} \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{\theta\left(\frac{k}{aq} q^{2r}; p\right) \left(\frac{a^2 q^2}{k}; q^2, p^2\right)_{n-r}}{\theta\left(\frac{k}{aq}; p\right) (q^2; q^2, p^2)_{n-r}}$$

$$\times \frac{\left(k; q^2, p^2\right)_{n+r}}{\left(\frac{k^2}{a^2}; q^2, p^2\right)_{n+r}} \left(\frac{k}{a^2 q}\right)^{n-r} \beta_r\left(a, \frac{k}{aq}; q, p\right). \quad (13)$$

Theorem 3: If $\langle \alpha_n(a, k; q, p), \beta_n(a, k; q, p) \rangle$ is an elliptic WP Bailey pair, then so is the pair $\langle \alpha_n'(a, k; q, p), \beta_n'(a, k; q, p) \rangle$ given by,

$$\alpha_{2n}'(a, k; q, p) = \alpha_n\left(a, \frac{k^2}{a}; q^2, p\right), \quad (14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n'(a, k; q, p) &= \frac{\left(\frac{k^2 q}{a}; q^2, p\right)_n}{\left(aq; q^2, p\right)_n} \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{\theta\left(\frac{k^2}{a} q^{2r}; p\right) \left(\frac{a}{k}; q, p\right)_{n-2r}}{\theta\left(\frac{k^2}{a}; p\right) (q; q, p)_{n-2r}} \\ &\times \frac{\left(k; q, p\right)_{n+2r}}{\left(\frac{k^2 q}{a}; q, p\right)_{n+2r}} \left(-\frac{k}{a}\right)^{n-2r} \beta_r\left(a, \frac{k^2}{a}; q^2, p\right). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

2. Elliptic WP-Bailey pairs and Elliptic conjugate WP-Bailey pairs:

In this section we shall obtain certain elliptic WP-Bailey pairs and elliptic conjugate WP-Bailey pairs.

(a) From (8) we find that

$$\beta_n(a, k; q, p) = \frac{\left(k, \frac{k}{a}; q, p\right)_n}{\left(q, aq; q, p\right)_n} \quad (16)$$

and

$$\alpha_n(a, k; q, p) = \delta_{n,0} \quad (17)$$

is an elliptic WP-Bailey pair.

(b) From (9) we find that

$$\beta_n(a, k; q, p) = \delta_{n,0} \quad (18)$$

and

$$\alpha_n(a, k; q, p) = \frac{\theta(aq^{2n}; p) \left(a, \frac{a}{k}; q, p \right)_n \left(\frac{k}{a} \right)^n}{\theta(a; p) (q, kq; q, p)_n} \quad (19)$$

is an elliptic WP-Bailey pair.

$$(c) \text{ Taking } \alpha_r(a, k; q, p) = \frac{\theta(aq^{2r}; p) \left(a, b, c, \frac{a^2 q}{bck}; q, p \right)_r \left(\frac{k}{a} \right)^r}{\theta(a; p) \left(q, \frac{aq}{b}, \frac{aq}{c}, \frac{bck}{a}; q, p \right)_r} \quad (20)$$

in (8) and using the summation formula (4) we have

$$\beta_n(a, k; q, p) = \frac{\left(k, \frac{aq}{bc}, \frac{bk}{a}, \frac{ck}{a}; q, p \right)_n}{\left(q, \frac{aq}{b}, \frac{aq}{c}, \frac{bck}{a}; q, p \right)_n}. \quad (21)$$

$\alpha_n(a, k; q, p)$ and $\beta_n(a, k; q, p)$ given in (20) and (21) form an elliptic WP-Bailey pair.

(d) If we choose

$$\delta_r(a, k; q, p) = \frac{\theta(kq^{2r}; p) \left(b, c, \frac{ak}{bc} q^{1+N}; q, p \right)_r \left(\frac{1}{k}; q, p \right)_{-N-r}}{\theta(k; p) \left(\frac{kq}{b}, \frac{kq}{c}, \frac{bc}{a} q^{-N}; q, p \right)_r (q; q, p)_{N-r} (kq^{2N+1})^r} \quad (22)$$

in (6) we get,

$$\gamma_n(a, k; q, p) = \frac{(-k)^N q^{N(N+1)/2} \left(b, c, \frac{ak}{bc} q^{1+N}, q^{-N}; q, p \right)_n \left(\frac{kq}{bc}, \frac{aq}{b}, \frac{aq}{c}; q, p \right)_n \left(\frac{a}{k} \right)^n}{\left(\frac{aq}{b}, \frac{aq}{c}, \frac{bc}{a} q^{-N}, aq^{1+N}; q, p \right)_n \left(q, \frac{kq}{b}, \frac{kq}{c}, \frac{aq}{bc}, aq; q, p \right)_n}. \quad (23)$$

Thus $\gamma_n(a, k; q, p)$ and $\delta_n(a, k; q, p)$ given in (23) and (22) form an elliptic WP-Bailey pair.

Putting these elliptic conjugate WP-Bailey pair in (7) we have the following result.

If $\langle \alpha_n(a, k; q, p), \beta_n(a, k; q, p) \rangle$ is an elliptic WP-Bailey pair then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\left(kq, \frac{kq}{bc}, \frac{aq}{b}, \frac{aq}{c}; q, p\right)_N \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{\left(b, c, \frac{ak}{bc} q^{1+N}, q^{-N}; q, p\right)_n \left(\frac{aq}{k}\right)^n}{\left(aq, \frac{aq}{bc}, \frac{kq}{b}, \frac{kq}{c}; q, p\right)_N \left(\frac{aq}{b}, \frac{aq}{c}, \frac{bc}{a} q^{-N}, aq^{1+N}; q, p\right)_n} \alpha_n(a, k; q, p) \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{\theta(kq^{2n}; p) \left(b, c, \frac{ak}{bc} q^{1+N}, q^{-N}; q, p\right)_n}{\theta(k; p) \left(\frac{kq}{b}, \frac{kq}{c}, \frac{bc}{a} q^{-N}, kq^{1+N}; q, p\right)_n} \beta_n(a, k; q, p). \tag{24}
 \end{aligned}$$

Application of (24):

If we make use of elliptic WP-Bailey pair due to Warnaar [12] we have the following summation formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta(aq^{2n}; p) \left(a, \frac{a}{k}, b, c, \frac{ak}{bc} q^{N+1}, q^{-N}; q, p\right)_n}{\theta(a; p) \left(q, kq, \frac{aq}{b}, \frac{aq}{c}, \frac{bc}{k} q^{-N}, aq^{N+1}; q, p\right)_n} = {}_{10}V_9 \left[a; \frac{a}{k}, b, c, \frac{ak}{bc} q^{N+1}, q^{-N}; q, p \right] \\
 &= \frac{\left(aq, \frac{aq}{bc}, \frac{kq}{b}, \frac{kq}{c}; q, p\right)_N}{\left(kq, \frac{kq}{bc}, \frac{aq}{b}, \frac{aq}{c}; q, p\right)_N}. \tag{25}
 \end{aligned}$$

Again replacing a, q, p by a^2, q^2, p^2 respectively in (24) it takes the form,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\left(kq^2, \frac{kq^2}{bc}, \frac{a^2q^2}{b}, \frac{a^2q^2}{c}; q^2, p^2\right)_N \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{\left(b, c, \frac{a^2k}{bc} q^{2+2N}, q^{-2N}; q^2, p^2\right)_n \left(\frac{a^2q^2}{k}\right)^n}{\left(a^2q^2, \frac{a^2q^2}{bc}, \frac{kq^2}{b}, \frac{kq^2}{c}; q^2, p^2\right)_N \left(\frac{a^2q^2}{b}, \frac{a^2q^2}{c}, \frac{bc}{k} q^{-2N}, a^2q^{2+2N}; q^2, p^2\right)_n} \alpha_n(a^2, k; q^2, p^2) \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{\theta(kq^{4n}; p^2) \left(b, c, \frac{a^2k}{bc} q^{2+2N}, q^{-2N}; q^2, p^2\right)_n}{\theta(k; p^2) \left(\frac{kq^2}{b}, \frac{kq^2}{c}, \frac{bc}{a^2} q^{-2N}, kq^{2+2N}; q^2, p^2\right)_n} \beta_n(a^2, k; q^2, p^2). \tag{26}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the elliptic WP-Bailey pair due to Warnaar [12],

$$\alpha_n(a^2, k; q^2, p^2) = \frac{\theta(aq^{2n}; p) \left(a, \frac{a^2q}{k}; q, p \right)_n \left(\frac{k}{a^2q} \right)_n}{\theta(a; p) \left(q, \frac{k}{a}; q, p \right)_n}$$

$$\beta_n(a^2, k; q^2, p^2) = \frac{\left(-\frac{k}{a}; q, p \right)_{2n} \left(k, \frac{a^2q^2}{k}; q^2, p^2 \right)_n \left(\frac{k}{a^2q} \right)_n}{(-aq; q, p)_{2n} \left(q^2, \frac{k^2}{a^2}; q^2, p^2 \right)_n}$$

in (26) we get the transformation formula,

$$\frac{\left(kq^2, \frac{kq^2}{bc}, \frac{a^2q^2}{b}, \frac{a^2q^2}{c}; q^2, p^2 \right)_N \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{\left(b, c, \frac{a^2k}{bc} q^{2+2N}, q^{-2N}; q^2, p^2 \right)_n \theta(aq^{2n}; p) \left(a, \frac{a^2q}{k}; q, p \right)_n}{\left(a^2q^2, \frac{a^2q^2}{bc}, \frac{kq^2}{b}, \frac{kq^2}{c}; q^2, p^2 \right)_N \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{\left(\frac{a^2q^2}{b}, \frac{a^2q^2}{c}, \frac{bc}{k} q^{-2N}, a^2q^{2+2N}; q^2, p^2 \right)_n \theta(a; p) \left(q, \frac{k}{a}; q, p \right)_n} q^{-n}}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{\theta(kq^{4n}; p^2) \left(k, \frac{a^2q^2}{k}, b, c, \frac{a^2q}{bc} q^{2+2N}, q^{-2N}; q^2, p^2 \right)_n \left(-\frac{k}{a}; q, p \right)_{2n} \left(\frac{k}{a^2q} \right)_n}{\theta(k; p^2) \left(q^2, \frac{k^2}{a^2}, \frac{kq^2}{b}, \frac{kq^2}{c}, \frac{bc}{a^2} q^{-2N}, kq^{2+2N}; q^2, p^2 \right)_n (-aq; q, p)_{2n}} \left(\frac{k}{a^2q} \right)_n. \quad (27)$$

Some more elliptic WP-Bailey pairs:

We shall use the elliptic WP-Bailey pairs of {(16), (17)}, {(18), (19)}, {(20), (21)} in theorem 1,2 and 3 to obtain new elliptic WP-Bailey pairs.

(e) Using the elliptic WP-Bailey pair of (16) and (17) in theorem 1 we have another elliptic WP-Bailey pair.

$$\alpha'_n(a, k; q, p) = 1 \quad (28)$$

$$\beta'_n(a, k; q, p) = \frac{\left(\frac{ck}{a}, \frac{bk}{a}; q, p \right)_n \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{\theta\left(\frac{bck}{aq} q^{2r}; p\right) (b, c; q, p)_r \left(\frac{aq}{bc}; q, p\right)_{n-r}}{\theta\left(\frac{bck}{aq}; p\right) \left(\frac{ck}{a}, \frac{bk}{a}; q, p\right)_r (q; q, p)_{n-r}}$$

$$\times \frac{(k; q, p)_{n+r}}{\left(\frac{bck}{a}; q, p\right)_{n+r}} \left(\frac{aq}{bc}\right)^r \frac{\left(a, \frac{bc}{a^2q}; q, p\right)_n}{(q, aq; q, p)_n}. \tag{29}$$

(f) Using the elliptic WP-Bailey pair of (18) and (19) in theorem 1 we obtain another elliptic WP-Bailey pair,

$$\alpha'_n(a, k; q, p) = \frac{(b, c; q, p)_n \theta(aq^{2n}; p) \left(a, \frac{a^2q}{bc}; q, p\right)_n \left(\frac{k}{a}\right)^n}{\left(\frac{aq}{b}, \frac{aq}{c}; q, p\right)_n \theta(a; p) \left(q, \frac{bc}{a}; q, p\right)_n} \tag{30}$$

$$\beta'_n(a, k; q, p) = \frac{\left(\frac{ck}{a}, \frac{bk}{a}; q, p\right)_n \left(\frac{aq}{bc}; q, p\right)_n (k; q, p)_n}{\left(\frac{aq}{b}, \frac{aq}{c}; q, p\right)_n (q; q, p)_n \left(\frac{bck}{a}; q, p\right)_n}. \tag{31}$$

(g) Using the elliptic WP-Bailey pair of (20) and (21) in theorem 1 we obtain another elliptic WP-Bailey pair,

$$\alpha'_n(a, k; q, p) = \frac{(b, c; q, p)_n \theta(aq^{2n}; p) \left(a, b, c, \frac{a^3q^2}{b^2c^2k}; q, p\right)_n \left(\frac{bck}{a^2q}\right)^n}{\left(\frac{aq}{b}, \frac{aq}{c}; q, p\right)_n \theta(a; p) \left(q, \frac{aq}{b}, \frac{aq}{c}, \frac{b^2c^2k}{a^2q}; q, p\right)_n} \tag{32}$$

$$\beta'_n(a, k; q, p) = \frac{\left(\frac{ck}{a}, \frac{bk}{a}; q, p\right)_n \sum_{r=0}^n \theta\left(\frac{bck}{aq}q^{2r}; p\right) (b, c; q, p)_r \left(\frac{aq}{bc}; q, p\right)_{n-r}}{\left(\frac{aq}{b}, \frac{aq}{c}; q, p\right)_n \theta\left(\frac{bck}{aq}; p\right) \left(\frac{ck}{a}, \frac{bk}{a}; q, p\right)_r (q; q, p)_{n-r}}$$

$$\times \frac{(k; q, p)_{n+r} \left(\frac{bck}{aq}, \frac{aq}{bc}, \frac{b^2ck}{a^2q}, \frac{bc^2k}{a^2q}; q, p\right)_n}{\left(\frac{bck}{a}; q, p\right)_{n+r} \left(q, \frac{aq}{b}, \frac{aq}{c}, \frac{b^2c^2k}{a^2q}; q, p\right)_n}. \tag{33}$$

(h) Making use of elliptic WP-Bailey pair of (16) and (17) in theorem 2 we obtain another elliptic WP-Bailey pair,

$$\alpha_n'(a^2, k; q^2, p^2) = 1 \quad (34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n'(a^2, k; q^2, p^2) &= \frac{\left(-\frac{k}{a}; q, p\right)_{2n} \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{\theta\left(\frac{k}{aq} q^{2r}; p\right) \left(\frac{a^2 q^2}{k}; q^2, p^2\right)_{n-r}}{\theta\left(\frac{k}{aq}; p\right) (q^2; q^2, p^2)_{n-r}} \\ &\times \frac{\left(k; q^2, p^2\right)_{n+r} \left(k, \frac{k}{a^2 q}; q, p\right)_n \left(\frac{k}{a^2 q}\right)^{n-r}}{\left(\frac{k^2}{a^2}; q^2, p^2\right) (q, aq; q, p)_n} . \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

(i) Making use of elliptic WP-Bailey pair of (18) and (19) in theorem 2 we obtain another elliptic WP-Bailey pair,

$$\alpha_n'(a^2, k; q^2, p^2) = \frac{\theta(aq^{2n}; p) \left(a, \frac{a^2 q}{k}; q, p\right)_n \left(\frac{k}{aq}\right)^n}{\theta(a; p) \left(q, \frac{k}{a}; q, p\right)_n}, \quad (36)$$

$$\beta_n'(a^2, k; q^2, p^2) = \frac{\left(-\frac{k}{a}; q, p\right)_{2n} \left(\frac{a^2 q^2}{k}; q^2, p^2\right)_n \left(k; q^2, p^2\right)_n \left(\frac{k}{a^2 q}\right)^n}{(-aq; q, p)_{2n} (q^2; q^2, p^2)_n \left(\frac{k^2}{a^2}; q^2, p^2\right)_n} . \quad (37)$$

(j) Making use of elliptic WP-Bailey pair of (20) and (21) in theorem 2 we obtain another elliptic WP-Bailey pair,

$$\alpha_n'(a^2, k; q^2, p^2) = \frac{\theta(aq^{2n}; p) \left(a, b, c, \frac{a^3 q^2}{bck}; q, p\right)_n \left(\frac{k}{a^2 q}\right)^n}{\theta(a; p) \left(q, \frac{aq}{b}, \frac{aq}{c}, \frac{bck}{a^2 q}; q, p\right)_n}, \quad (38)$$

$$\beta_n'(a^2, k; q^2, p^2) = \frac{\left(-\frac{k}{a}; q, p\right)_{2n} \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{\theta\left(\frac{k}{aq} q^{2r}; p\right) \left(\frac{a^2 q^2}{k}; q^2, p^2\right)_{n-r}}{\theta\left(\frac{k}{aq}; p\right) (q^2; q^2, p^2)_{n-r}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\left(k; q^2, p^2\right)_{n+r} \left(\frac{k}{aq}, \frac{aq}{bc}, \frac{bk}{a^2q}, \frac{ck}{a^2q}; q, p\right)_n \left(\frac{k}{a^3q^2}\right)^{n-r}}{\left(\frac{k^2}{a^2}; q^2, p^2\right)_{n+r} \left(q, \frac{aq}{b}, \frac{aq}{c}, \frac{bck}{a^2q}; q, p\right)_n} \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

(k) Making use of elliptic WP-Bailey pair of (16) and (17) in theorem 3 we obtain another elliptic WP-Bailey pair,

$$\alpha_{2n}'(a, k; q, p) = 1 \quad (40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n'(a, k; q, p) &= \frac{\left(\frac{k^2q}{a}; q^2, p\right)_n \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \theta\left(\frac{k^2}{a}q^{2r}; p\right) \left(\frac{a}{k}; q, p\right)_{n-2r}}{\left(aq; q^2, p\right)_n \theta\left(\frac{k^2}{a}; p\right) (q; q, p)_{n-2r}} \\ & \times \frac{\left(k; q, p\right)_{n+2r} \left(\frac{k^2}{a}, \frac{k^2}{a^2}; q^2, p\right)_n \left(-\frac{k}{a}\right)^{n-2r}}{\left(\frac{k^2q}{a}; q, p\right)_{n+2r} (q, aq; q^2, p)_n} \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

(l) Making use of elliptic WP-Bailey pair of (18) and (19) in theorem 3 we obtain another elliptic WP-Bailey pair,

$$\alpha_{2n}'(a, k; q, p) = \frac{\theta(aq^{2n}; p) \left(a, \frac{a^2}{k^2}; q^2, p\right)_n \left(\frac{k^2}{a^2}\right)^n}{\theta(a; p) \left(q, \frac{k^2q}{a}; q^2, p\right)_n} \quad (42)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n'(a, k; q, p) &= \frac{\left(\frac{k^2q}{a}; q^2, p\right)_n \left(\frac{a}{k}; q, p\right)_n (k; q, p)_n \left(-\frac{k}{a}\right)^n}{\left(aq; q^2, p\right)_n (q; q, p)_n \left(\frac{k^2q}{a}; q, p\right)_n} \\ & \times \frac{\left(k; q, p\right)_{n+2r} \left(-\frac{k}{a}\right)^{n-2r}}{\left(\frac{k^2q}{a}; q, p\right)_{n+2r}} \beta_r\left(a, \frac{k^2}{a}; q^2, p\right). \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

(m) Making use of elliptic WP-Bailey pair of (20) and (21) in theorem 3 we obtain another elliptic WP-Bailey pair,

$$\alpha_{2n}'(a, k; q, p) = \frac{\theta(aq^{2n}; p) \left(a, b, c, \frac{a^3 q}{bck^2}; q^2, p \right)_n \left(\frac{k^2}{a^2} \right)^n}{\theta(a; p) \left(q, \frac{aq}{b}, \frac{aq}{c}, \frac{bck^2}{a^2}; q^2, p \right)_n}, \quad (44)$$

$$\beta_n'(a, k; q, p) = \frac{\left(\frac{k^2 q}{a}; q^2, p \right)_n \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{\theta\left(\frac{k^2}{a} q^{2r}; p\right) \left(\frac{a}{k}; q, p\right)_{n-2r}}{\theta\left(\frac{k^2}{a}; p\right) (q; q, p)_{n-2r}}}{(aq; q^2, p)_n} \times \frac{(k; q, p)_{n+2r} \left(\frac{k^2}{a}, \frac{aq}{bc}, \frac{bk^2}{a^2}, \frac{ck^2}{a^2}; q^2, p \right)_n \left(-\frac{k^2}{a^2} \right)^{n-2r}}{\left(\frac{k^2 q}{a}; q, p \right)_{n+2r} \left(q, \frac{aq}{b}, \frac{aq}{c}, \frac{bck^2}{a^2}; q^2, p \right)_n}. \quad (45)$$

3. Main Results

In this section we shall establish certain transformation and summation formulas for theta hypergeometric series.

(i) Putting the elliptic WP-Bailey pair given in (28), (29) in (8) we find,

$${}_{10}V_9 \left[\frac{bck}{aq}; b, c, kq^n, q^{-n}, q; q, p \right] = \frac{\left(\frac{aq}{b}, \frac{aq}{c}, \frac{bck}{a}, q, \frac{k}{a}; q, p \right)_n}{\left(\frac{ck}{a}, \frac{bk}{a}, \frac{bc}{a^2 q}, a, \frac{aq}{bc}; q, p \right)_n} \times {}_3E_2 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, kq^n; q; q, p; \frac{aq}{k} \\ \frac{a}{k} q^{1-n}, aq^{n+1} \end{matrix} \right] \quad (46)$$

(ii) Putting the elliptic WP-Bailey pair given in (30), (31) in (8) we find,

$${}_{10}V_9 \left[\frac{ck}{a}, \frac{bk}{a}, \frac{aq}{bc}, \frac{bc}{a}, b, q^{-n}; q, p \right] = {}_3E_2 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, kq^n; q, q, p; \frac{aq}{k} \\ \frac{a}{k} q^{1-n}, aq^{n+1} \end{matrix} \right] \quad (47)$$

(iii) Putting the elliptic WP-Bailey pair given in (32), (33) in (8) we get

$${}_{10}V_9 \left[\frac{bck}{aq}; b, c, kq^n, q^{-n}, q; q, p \right] = \frac{\left(\frac{bck}{a}; q, p\right)_n \left(\frac{k}{a}; q, p\right)_n (b, c; q, p)_n}{\left(\frac{aq}{bc}; q, p\right)_n (aq; q, p)_n \left(\frac{ck}{a}, \frac{bk}{a}; q, p\right)_n} \\ \times \frac{\left(a, b, c, \frac{a^3 q^2}{b^2 c^2 k}, q, \frac{k}{a}; q, p\right)_n}{\left(\frac{bck}{aq}, \frac{aq}{bc}, \frac{b^2 ck}{a^2 q}, \frac{bc^2 k}{a^2 q}; q, p\right)_n} \times {}_3E_2 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, kq^n; q, q, p; \frac{aq}{k} \\ \frac{a}{k} q^{1-n}, aq^{n+1} \end{matrix} \right] \quad (48)$$

Similarly, we can find another summation formula.

Acknowledgement: The authors are thankful to the Referee for valuable comments and suggestions.

References

[1] Andrews G.E. (2000). Bailey’s transform, lemma, chains and tree in Special Functions, Kluwer Academic Publishers.

[2] Baxter R.J. (1973). Eight-vertex model in lattice statistics and one-dimensional anisotropic Heisenberg chain. II. Equivalence to a generalized ice-type model Ann. Physics **76**, 25-47.

[3] Frenkel I.B. and Turaev V.G. (1997). Elliptic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation and modular hypergeometric functions, The Arnold-Gelfand Mathematical Seminars, 171-204.

[4] Gasper G. and Rahman M. (2004). Basic Hypergeometric Series (Second Edition), Cambridge University Press, New York.

[5] Srivastava H.M., Singh S.N., Singh Satya Prakash, Yadav Vijay (2017). Certain Derived WP-Bailey Pairs and Transformation Formulas for q-Hypergeometric Series, Filomat, **31**(4), 4619-4628.

[6] Srivastava H.M., Singh S.N., Singh Satya Prakash, Yadav Vijay (2015). Some Conjugate WP-Bailey Pairs and Transformation Formulas for q-Series, CREAT. MATH. INFORM, **24**(2), 199-209.

- [7] Singh Satya Prakash (2014). On transformation formulae for Theta hypergeometric functions, *J. Ramanujan Society of Math. and Math. Sc.*, **3**(1), 53-62.
- [8] Singh Satya Prakash (2011). On a transformation formula for elliptic hypergeometric series, *South East Asian J. Math. & Math. Sc.*, **10**(2), 79-87.
- [9] Singh S.N., Singh Satya Prakash, Yadav Vijay (2015). On Bailey's Transform and Expansion of Basic Hypergeometric Functions-II, *South East Asian J. Math. & Math. Sc.*, **11**(2), 37-46.
- [10] Spiridonov V.P. (2002). An elliptic extension of the Bailey chain, *Int. Math. Res. Notices*, **37**, 1945-1977.
- [11] Spiridonov V.P. (2001). Theta hypergeometric series, *Proc, NATO ASI Asymptotic Combinatorics with application to Mathematical Physics St. Petersburg, July 9-22*.
- [12] Warnaar S.O. (2003). Extensions of the well-poised and elliptic well poised Bailey lemma, *Indag Math. (N.S.)* to appear at [arXiv:Math/0309241v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0309241v1).