

A NEW SUBCLASS OF HARMONIC UNIVALENT FUNCTION DEFINED BY FRACTIONAL CALCULUS OPERATOR WITH FIXED POINT

Poonam Dixit¹ and Puneet Shukla²

Department of Mathematics, UIET, CSJM University, Kanpur

Abstract: In this paper, we study a subclass of harmonic univalent functions and a new fractional calculus operator. We obtain coefficient conditions, extreme points, distortion bounds, convolution and convex combination for the above class of harmonic univalent functions.

Key words and phrases: Harmonic, Univalent function, Fractional calculus.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45

1. Introduction

A continuous complex-valued function $f = u+iv$ defined in a simply connected complex domain D is said to be harmonic in D if both u and v are real harmonic in D . In any simply connected domain we can write $f = h + \bar{g}$ where h and g are analytic in D . we call h the analytic part and g the co-analytic part of f . A necessary and sufficient condition for f to be locally univalent and sense-preserving in D is that $|h'(z)| > |g'(z)|, z \in D$. (See Clunie and Sheil-Small [2]).

Denote by S_H the class of functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ that are harmonic univalent and sense-preserving in the unit disk $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ for which $f(0) = f_z(0) - 1 = 0$. Then for $f = h + \bar{g} \in S_H$ we may express the analytic functions h and g as

$$h(z) = a_0 z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad |b_1| < 1. \quad \dots(1)$$

The class S_H reduces to class S of normalized analytic univalent functions if co-analytic part of f i.e. $g \equiv 0$, for this class $f(z)$ may be expressed as

$$f(z) = a_0 z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k. \quad \dots(2)$$

Further, A denotes the class of function of the form (2) which are analytic in the open unit disk U .

The following definitions of fractional derivatives and fractional integrals are due to Owa [6] and Srivastava and Owa [10].

Definition 1. The fractional integral of order λ is defined for a function $f(z)$ of the form 2 by

$$D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta)}{(z-\zeta)^{1-\lambda}} d\zeta, \quad \dots(3)$$

where $\lambda > 0$, $f(z)$ is an analytic function in a simply-connected region of the z -plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of $(z-\zeta)^{\lambda-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-\lambda) > 0$.

Definition 2. The fractional derivative of order λ is defined for a function $f(z)$ of the form (2), by

$$D_z^\lambda f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta)}{(z-\zeta)^\lambda} d\zeta, \quad \dots(4)$$

where $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, $f(z)$ is an analytic function in a simply-connected region of the z -plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of $(z-\zeta)^{-\lambda}$ is removed as in Definition 1 above.

Definition 3. Under the hypothesis of Definition 2, the fractional derivative of order $n+\lambda$ is defined for a function $f(z)$ by

$$D_z^{n+\lambda} f(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} D_z^\lambda f(z) \quad \dots(5)$$

where $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $n \in N_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

For f of the form (2), using the Definition 2 and 3, we study a new fractional derivative operator as

$$\Omega^0 f(z) = f(z)$$

$$\Omega^1 f(z) = \Gamma(1-\lambda) a_0 z^{1+\lambda} D_z^{1+\lambda} f(z)$$

.....

$$\Omega^n f(z) = \Omega(\Omega^{n-1} f(z)).$$

We note that

$$\Omega^n f(z) = a_0 z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\phi(k, \lambda)]^n a_k z^k,$$

where

$$\phi(k, \lambda) = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(k-\lambda)}.$$

It is interesting to note that for $\lambda = 0, \Omega^n f(z)$ reduces to familiar Salagean operator defined by Salagean in [7].

From the motivation of the definition of modified Salagean operator

$$D^n f(z) = D^n h(z) + (-1)^n \overline{D^n g(z)} \tag{6}$$

for $f = h + \bar{g}$ given by (1) in [4], we define

$$\Omega^n f(z) = \Omega^n h(z) + (-1)^n \overline{\Omega^n g(z)} \tag{7}$$

where $\Omega^n h(z) = a_0 z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\phi(k, \lambda)]^n a_k z^k$ and $\Omega^n g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\phi(k, \lambda)]^n b_k z^k$.

Now for $0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq t \leq 1, m \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, m > n$ and $z \in U$, suppose that $S_H^\lambda(m, n; \alpha; t, z_0)$ denote the family of harmonic functions f of the form (1) such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\Omega^m f(z)}{\Omega^n f_t(z)} \right\} > \alpha. \tag{8}$$

where $f_t(z) = (1-t)a_0 z + t f(z)$ and $\Omega^m f$ is defined by (7).

Further, let the subclass $\bar{S}_H^\lambda(m, n; \alpha, t, z_0)$ consist of harmonic functions $f_m = h + \bar{g}_m$ in $S_H^\lambda(m, n; \alpha, t, z_0)$ so that h and g_m are the form

$$h(z) = a_0 z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| z^k, g_m(z) = (-1)^{m-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k| z^k. \quad \dots(9)$$

By specializing the parameters in subclass $S_H^\lambda(m, n; \alpha, t, z_0)$, we obtain the following known subclasses studied earlier by various researchers.

1. If we put $\lambda = 0$ and $t = 0$ then it reduces to the class $S_H(m, n, \alpha, t, z_0)$ studied by Yalcin [11].
2. If we put $m = 1, n = 0, \lambda = 0, t = 1$ and $m = 2, n = 1, \lambda = 0, t = 1$ then it reduces to the class $HS(\alpha)$ and $HK(\alpha)$ studied by Jahangiri [4].
3. If we put $m = 1, n = 0, \alpha = 0, \lambda = 0, t = 1$ and $m = 2, n = 1, \alpha = 0, \lambda = 0, t = 1$ with $b_1 = 0$ then it reduces to the class $HS^0(0)$ and $HK^0(0)$ studied by Avci and Zlotkiewicz [1] and Silverman [8].
4. If we put $m = 1, n = 0, \alpha = 0, \lambda = 0, t = 1$ and $m = 2, n = 1, \alpha = 0, t = 1$ then it reduces to the class $HS(0)$ and $HK(0)$ studied by Silverman and Silvia [9], which is an improvement of ([1],[8]).
5. If we put $m = n+1, \lambda = 0, t = 1$ then it reduces to the class $H(n, \alpha)$ studied by Jahangiri et al. [5].

In the present paper, results involving coefficient estimates, extreme points, distortion bounds, convolution condition and convex combinations for the above classes $S_H^\lambda(m, n, \alpha, t, z_0)$ and $\overline{S}_H^\lambda(m, n, \alpha, t, z_0)$ of harmonic univalent functions have been investigated.

2. Main Results

We begin with a sufficient coefficient condition for function $S_H^\lambda(m, n; \alpha, t, z_0)$.

Theorem 1. Let $f = h + \overline{g}$ be such that h and g are given by (1). Furthermore, let

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{[\phi(k, \lambda)]^m - \alpha[\phi(k, \lambda)]^n}{1 - \alpha} |a_k| + \frac{[\phi(k, \lambda)]^m - (-1)^{m-n} \alpha t [\phi(k, \lambda)]^n}{1 - \alpha} |b_k| \right) \leq 2a_0, \quad \dots(10)$$

where

$$a_1 = a_0, m \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, m > n, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \lambda < 1, 0 \leq t \leq 1 \text{ and } \phi(k) = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(k-\lambda)},$$

then f is sense-preserving, harmonic univalent in U and $f \in S_H^\lambda(m, n; \alpha, t, z_0)$.

Proof. First we note that f is sense-preserving in U . This is because

$$\begin{aligned} |h'(z)| &\geq a_0 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k |a_k| r^{k-1} > a_0 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k |a_k| \geq a_0 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\phi(k, \lambda)]^m - \alpha t [\phi(k, \lambda)]^n}{1 - \alpha} |a_k| \\ &\geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\phi(k, \lambda)]^m - (-1)^{m-n} \alpha t [\phi(k, \lambda)]^n}{1 - \alpha} |b_k| \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |b_k| > \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |b_k| r^{k-1} \geq |g'(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

To show that f is univalent U , suppose $z_1, z_2 \in U$ such that $z_1 \neq z_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(z_1) - f(z_2)}{h(z_1) - h(z_2)} \right| &\geq 1 - \left| \frac{g(z_1) - g(z_2)}{h(z_1) - h(z_2)} \right| = 1 - \left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k (z_1^k - z_2^k)}{a_0 (z_1 - z_2) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k (z_1^k - z_2^k)} \right| \\ &> 1 - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |b_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k |a_k|} \geq 1 - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\phi(k, \lambda)]^m - (-1)^{m-n} \alpha t [\phi(k, \lambda)]^n}{1 - \alpha}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\phi(k, \lambda)]^m - \alpha t [\phi(k, \lambda)]^n}{1 - \alpha} |a_k|} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we show that $f \in S_H^\lambda(m, n; \alpha, t, z_0)$. Using the fact that $\text{Re } \omega \geq \alpha$, if and only if, $|1 - \alpha + \omega| \geq |1 + \alpha - \omega|$, it suffices to show that

$$|A(z) + (1 - \alpha)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1 + \alpha)B(z)| \geq 0, \tag{11}$$

where $A(z) = \Omega^m f(z)$ and $B(z) = \Omega^n f_t(z)$.

Substituting for $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ in Left Hand Side of (11) and making use of (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|A(z) + (1 - \alpha)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1 + \alpha)B(z)| \\ &= |\Omega^m f(z) + 1(1 - \alpha)\Omega^n f(z)| - |\Omega^m f(z) - (1 + \alpha)\Omega^n f_t(z)| \\ &= \left| (2 - \alpha) a_0 z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ([\phi(k, \lambda)]^m + (1 - \alpha)t [\phi(k, \lambda)]^n) a_k z^k \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left((-1)^{m-n} [\phi(k, \lambda)]^m + (1-\alpha)t[\phi(k, \lambda)]^n \right) \overline{b_k z^k} \Big| \\
& - \left| -\alpha a_0 z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left([\phi(k, \lambda)]^m - (1+\alpha)t[\phi(k, \lambda)]^n \right) a_k z^k \right. \\
& \left. + (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left((-1)^{m-n} [\phi(k, \lambda)]^m - (1+\alpha)t[\phi(k, \lambda)]^n \right) \overline{b_k z^k} \right| \\
\geq & 2(1-\alpha) |a_0| |z| - 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left([\phi(k, \lambda)]^m - \alpha t[\phi(k, \lambda)]^n \right) |a_k| |z|^k \\
& - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| (-1)^{m-n} [\phi(k, \lambda)]^m + (1-\alpha)t[\phi(k, \lambda)]^n \right| |b_k| |z|^k \\
& - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| (-1)^{m-n} [\phi(k, \lambda)]^m - (1+\alpha)t[\phi(k, \lambda)]^n \right| |b_k| |z|^k \\
= & \begin{cases} 2(1-\alpha) |a_0| |z| - 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left([\phi(k, \lambda)]^m - \alpha t[\phi(k, \lambda)]^n \right) |a_k| |z|^k \\ \quad - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left([\phi(k, \lambda)]^m + \alpha t[\phi(k, \lambda)]^n \right) |b_k| |z|^k, \text{ if } m-n \text{ is odd} \\ 2(1-\alpha) |a_0| |z| - 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left([\phi(k, \lambda)]^m - \alpha t[\phi(k, \lambda)]^n \right) |b_k| |z|^k \\ \quad - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left([\phi(k, \lambda)]^m - \alpha t[\phi(k, \lambda)]^n \right) |b_k| |z|^k, \text{ if } m-n \text{ is even} \end{cases} \\
= & 2(1-\alpha) |z| \left\{ |a_0| - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\phi(k, \lambda)]^m - \alpha t[\phi(k, \lambda)]^n}{1-\alpha} |a_k| |z|^{k-1} \right. \\
& \left. - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\phi(k, \lambda)]^m - (-1)^{m-n} \alpha t[\phi(k, \lambda)]^n}{1-\alpha} |b_k| |z|^{k-1} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= 2(1-\alpha) \left\{ a_0 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\phi(k, \lambda)]^m - \alpha t [\phi(k, \lambda)]^n}{1-\alpha} |a_k| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\phi(k, \lambda)]^m - (-1)^{m-n} \alpha t [\phi(k, \lambda)]^n}{1-\alpha} |b_k| \right\}$$

≥ 0 (using (10)).

The coefficient bound (10) is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = a_0 z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1-\alpha}{([\phi(k, \lambda)]^m - \alpha t [\phi(k, \lambda)]^n)} x_k z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-\alpha}{([\phi(k, \lambda)]^m - (-1)^{m-n} \alpha t [\phi(k, \lambda)]^n)} \overline{y_k} z^k \quad \dots(12)$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \lambda < 1, m \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, m > n, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ and

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |x_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |y_k| = 1.$$

This completes the proof of theorem.

In the following theorem, it is proved that the condition (10) is also necessary for the functions $f_m = h + \overline{g_m}$, where h and g_m are of the form (9).

Theorem 2. Let $f_m = h + \overline{g_m}$ be given by (9). Then $f_m \in \overline{S}_H^\lambda(m, n; \alpha, t, z_0)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\{(\phi(k, \lambda))^m - \alpha t (\phi(k, \lambda))^n\} |a_k| + \{(\phi(k, \lambda))^m - (-1)^{m-n} \alpha t (\phi(k, \lambda))^n\} |b_k|] \leq 2(1-\alpha)a_0. \quad \dots(13)$$

Proof. Since $\overline{S}_H^\lambda(m, n; \alpha, t, z_0) \subset S_H^\lambda(m, n; \alpha, t, z_0)$, we only need to prove the “only if” part of the theorem. To this end, for function f_m of the form (9), we notice that the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\Omega^m f_m(z)}{\Omega^n f_{(m)}(z)} \right\} \geq \alpha$$

is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1-\alpha)a_0 z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{(\phi(k, \lambda))^m - \alpha t(\phi(k, \lambda))^n\} |a_k| z^k + (-1)^{2m-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{(\phi(k, \lambda))^m - (-1)^{m-n} \alpha t(\phi(k, \lambda))^n\} |b_k| \bar{z}^k}{a_0 z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (\phi(k, \lambda))^n t |a_k| z^k + (-1)^{m+n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\phi(k, \lambda))^n t |b_k| \bar{z}^k} \right\} \geq 0. \tag{14}$$

The above required condition (14) must hold for all values of z in U . Upon choosing the values of z on the positive real axis where $0 \leq z = r < 1$, we must have

$$\frac{(1-\alpha)a_0 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{(\phi(k, \lambda))^m - \alpha t(\phi(k, \lambda))^n\} |a_k| r^{k-1} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{(\phi(k, \lambda))^m - (-1)^{m-n} \alpha t(\phi(k, \lambda))^n\} |b_k| r^{k-1}}{a_0 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (\phi(k, \lambda))^n t |a_k| r^{k-1} + (-1)^{m+n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\phi(k, \lambda))^n t |b_k| r^{k-1}} \geq 0. \tag{15}$$

If the condition (13) does not hold then the numerator in (15) is negative for r sufficiently close to 1. Thus there exist a $z_0 = r_0$ in $(0,1)$ for which the quotient in (15) is negative. This contradicts the required condition for $f_m \in \bar{S}_H^\lambda(m, n, \alpha, t, z_0)$ and so the proof is complete.

We prove the following Theorems 3, 4 by using techniques adopted by Yalcin [11].

Theorem 3. Let f_m be given by (9). Then $f_m \in \bar{S}_H^\lambda(m, n, \alpha, t, z_0)$ if and only if

$$f_m(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (x_k h_k(z) + y_k g_{mk}(z)). \tag{16}$$

where

$$h_1(z) = a_0 z, h_k(z) = z - \frac{(1-\alpha)a_0}{(\phi(k,\lambda))^m - \alpha t(\phi(k,\lambda))^m} z^k, (k = 2,3,4,\dots),$$

$$g_{mk}(z) = a_0 z + (-1)^{m-1} \frac{(1-\alpha)a_0}{(\phi(k,\lambda))^m - (-1)^{m-n} \alpha t(\phi(k,\lambda))^n} \bar{z}^k, (k = 1,2,3,4,\dots),$$

$x_k \geq 0, y_k \geq 0, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (x_k + y_k) = 1$. In particular, the extreme points of

$\bar{S}_H^\lambda(m, n, \alpha, t, z_0)$ are $\{h_k\}$ and $\{g_{mk}\}$.

Theorem 4. Let $f_m \in \bar{S}_H^\lambda(m, n, \alpha, t, z_0)$. Then for $|z| = r < 1$, we have

$$|f_m(z)| \leq (a_0 + |b_1|)r + \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{2}\right)^n \left[\frac{(1-\alpha)a_0}{\left(\frac{2}{1-\lambda}\right)^{m-n} - \alpha t} - \frac{1-(-1)^{m-n} \alpha t}{\left(\frac{2}{1-\lambda}\right)^{m-n} - \alpha t} |b_1| \right] r^2,$$

$$|z| = r < 1$$

and

$$|f_m(z)| \geq (a_0 + |b_1|)r - \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{2}\right)^n \left[\frac{(1-\alpha)a_0}{\left(\frac{2}{1-\lambda}\right)^{m-n} - \alpha t} - \frac{1-(-1)^{m-n} \alpha t}{\left(\frac{2}{1-\lambda}\right)^{m-n} - \alpha t} |b_1| \right] r^2,$$

$$|z| = r < 1$$

References

- [1] Avci, Y. and Zlotkiewicz, E. (1990). On harmonic univalent mappings, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A* 44, 1-7.
- [2] Clunie, J. and Sheil-Small, T. (1984). Harmonic univalent functions, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fen. Series A. I. Math.*, 9, 3-25.
- [3] Dixit, K.K. Porwal, S. (2011). A new subclass of harmonic univalent function defined by fractional calculus operator, *Journal Mathematics* Vol. 19, No.2, 81-89.
- [4] Jahangiri, J.M. (1999). Harmonic functions starlike in the unit disk, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 235, 470-477.
- [5] Jahangiri, J.M., Murugusundaramoorthy, G. and Vijaya, K. (2002). Salagean-type harmonic univalent functions, *South J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 2, 77-82.
- [6] Owa, S. (1978). On the distortion theorem I, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 18, 53-59.
- [7] Salagean, G.S. (1983). Subclass of univalent functions, *Complex Analysis- Fifth Romanian Finish Seminar, Bucharest*, 1, 362-372.
- [8] Silverman, H. (1998). Harmonic univalent functions with negative coefficients, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 220, 283-289.
- [9] Silverman, H. and Silvia, E.M. (1999). Subclasses of harmonic univalent functions, *New Zealand J. Math.*, 28, 275-284.
- [10] Srivastava, H.M. and Owa, S. (1984). An application of the fractional derivative, *Math. Japon.*, 29, 383-389.
- [11] Yalcin, S. (2005). A new class of Salagean-type harmonic univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 18, 191-198.