

RELIABILITY MODELING OF A COMPUTER SYSTEM WITH PRIORITY TO PM OVER H/W REPLACEMENT SUBJECT TO MOT AND MRT

ASHISH KUMAR AND S.C. MALIK

Department of Statistics, M.D. University, Rohtak-124001, Haryana (India)
Email: ashishbarak2020@gmail.com, sc_malik@rediffmail.com

Recieved : March 29, 2013; **Revised** : May 04, 2013

Abstract : In this paper we shall discuss a reliability model of a computer system with two identical units- one is operative and other is kept as cold standby considering maximum operation time (MOT) and maximum repair time (MRT) of the unit. In each unit h/w and s/w components fails independently. There is a single server who visits the system immediately to perform PM, h/w repair and replacement and s/w replacement. The unit under goes for preventive maintenance after a maximum operation time at normal mode. The h/w components under go for repair at their failure and are replaced by new one in case these are not repaired up to a maximum repair time. Although, only replacement for s/w components is made in case s/w fails to meet out the requirements. Priority to the preventive maintenance (PM) of the unit is given over replacement of the h/w components. The failure time distribution of the components follow negative exponential whereas the distributions of preventive maintenance, repair and replacement time are taken as arbitrary with different probability density functions. Several reliability measures have been derived using semi-Markov and regenerative point technique. The graphs are drawn to depict the behaviour of the results.

Key Words: Computer System, Reliability Model, Preventive Maintenance, Maximum Operation and Repair Times, Priority and Replacement.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 90B25 and 60K10

1. Introduction

The evaluation of computer system reliability is an important aspect for the design of new systems and further development of the old one. A major challenge to the industrialists now a day is to provide reliable h/w and s/w components for the computer

systems. For this purpose, most of the scientists and academicians are trying to explore new techniques for reliability improvement of the computer systems. In spite of these efforts, a little work has been dedicated to the reliability modeling of computer systems. And, most of the research work carried out so far in the subject of s/w and h/w reliability has been limited to the consideration of either h/w subsystem alone or s/w subsystem alone. But there are many complex systems in which h/w and s/w components work together to provide computer functionality. Friedman and Tran [1] and Welke et al. [6] tried to establish a combined reliability model for the whole system in which hardware and software components work together. Recently, Malik and Anand [3] and Malik and Kumar [2] suggested reliability models of a computer system with independent failure of h/w and s/w components

Further, the continued operation and ageing of these systems gradually reduce their performance, reliability and safety. And, a breakdown of such systems is costly, dangerous and may create confusion in our society. It is, therefore, of great importance to operate such systems with high reliability. It is proved that preventive maintenance can slow the deterioration process of a repairable system and restore the system in a younger age or state. Thus, the method of preventive maintenance can be used to improve the reliability and profit of system. Malik and Nandal [4] has proposed a reliability model for complex systems introducing the concept of preventive maintenance of the unit after a maximum operation time. Further, the reliability of a system can be increased by making replacement of the components by new one in case repair time is too long i.e., if it extends to a pre-specific time. Singh and Agrafiotis [5] analyzed stochastically a two-unit cold standby system subject to maximum operation and repair time.

While considering the above facts and to fill up the gap, the present paper is designed to evaluate some reliability **measures** of a computer system in which h/w and s/w components fails independently. A reliability model of two identical-units is developed considering computer system as a single- unit. Initially one unit is operative and the other unit is kept as cold standby. In each unit h/w and s/w components fails independently. There is a single server who visits the system immediately to perform PM, h/w repair, s/w replacement and h/w replacement of the components. The unit under goes for preventive maintenance after a maximum operation time at normal mode. The h/w components under go for repair at their failure and are replaced by new one in case these are not repaired up to a maximum repair time. Although, there is only replacement or up gradation facility for s/w components. Priority to the preventive maintenance

(PM) of the unit is given over replacement of the h/w components. The failure time distribution of the components follow negative exponential whereas the distributions of preventive maintenance, repair and replacement time are taken as arbitrary with different probability density functions. Several reliability measures such as mean time to system failure (MTSF), availability, busy period of the server due to PM, busy period of the server due to h/w repair, busy period of the server due to h/w replacement, busy period of the server due to s/w replacement, expected number of h/w replacements, expected number of s/w replacements, expected number of visits of the server and profit function are obtained using semi-Markov and regenerative point technique. The graphical behaviour of the results has also been shown for a particular case to highlight the importance of the study.

Notations

E	:	The set of regenerative states
NO	:	The unit is operative and in normal mode
Cs	:	The unit is in cold standby
a/b	:	Probability that the system has hardware / software failure
λ_1/λ_2	:	Constant hardware / software failure rate
α_0	:	Maximum constant rate of Operation Time
β_0	:	Maximum constant rate of Repair Time.
Pm/PM	:	The unit is under preventive Maintenance/ under preventive maintenance continuously from previous state
WPm/WPM	:	The unit is waiting for PM / waiting for preventive maintenance continuously from previous state
HFur/HFUR	:	The unit is failed due to hardware and is under repair / under repair continuously from previous state
HFurp/HFURP	:	The unit is failed due to h/w and is under replacement / under replacement continuously from previous state
HFwr / HFWR	:	The unit is failed due to h/w and is waiting for repair/waiting for repair continuously from previous state
SFurp/SFURP	:	The unit is failed due to the s/w and is under replacement/under replacement continuously from previous state

- SFw_{rp}/SFWRP : The unit is failed due to the software and is waiting for replacement / waiting for replacement continuously from previous state
- $h(t) / H(t)$: pdf / cdf of replacement time of unit due to software
- $g(t) / G(t)$: pdf / cdf of repair time of the hardware
- $m(t) / M(t)$: pdf / cdf of replacement time of the hardware
- $f(t) / F(t)$: pdf / cdf of the time for PM of the unit
- $q_{ij}(t) / Q_{ij}(t)$: pdf / cdf of passage time from regenerative state i to a regenerative state j or to a failed state j without visiting any other regenerative state in $(0, t]$
- pdf / cdf : Probability density function/ Cumulative density function
- $q_{ij,kr}(t) / Q_{ij,kr}(t)$: pdf/cdf of direct transition time from regenerative state i to a regenerative state j or to a failed state j visiting state k, r once in $(0, t]$
- $\mu_i(t)$: Probability that the system up initially in state $S_i \in E$ is up at time t without visiting to any regenerative state
- $W_i(t)$: Probability that the server is busy in the state S_i upto time ' t ' without making any transition to any other regenerative state or returning to the same state via one or more non-regenerative states.
- m_{ij} : Contribution to mean sojourn time (μ_i) in state S_i when system transit directly to state S_j so that $\mu_i = \sum_j m_{ij}$ and $m_{ij} = \int tdQ_{ij}(t) = -q_{ij}^{*'}(0)$
- $\tilde{\$}/\odot$: Symbol for Laplace-Stieltjes convolution/Laplace convolution
- $\sim / *$: Symbol for Laplace Steiltjes Transform (LST) / Laplace Transform (LT)
- ' (desh) : Used to represent alternative result

Transition Probabilities and Mean Sojourn Times

Simple probabilistic considerations yield the following expressions for the non-zero elements

$$p_{ij} = Q_{ij}(\infty) = \int_0^{\infty} q_{ij}(t)dt \quad \text{as} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_{01} &= \frac{\alpha_0}{A}, p_{02} = \frac{a\lambda_1}{A}, p_{03} = \frac{b\lambda_2}{A}, p_{10} = f^*(A), p_{16} = \frac{a\lambda_1}{A} [1 - f^*(A)] = p_{12,6}, p_{18} = \frac{b\lambda_2}{A} \\
 [1 - f^*(A)] &= p_{13,8}, p_{1,13} = \frac{\alpha_0}{A} [1 - f^*(A)] = p_{11,13}, p_{20} = g^*(B), p_{24} = \frac{\beta_0}{B} [1 - g^*(B)] \\
 , p_{25} &= \frac{\alpha_0}{B} [1 - g^*(B)] p_{2,11} = \frac{b\lambda_2}{B} [1 - g^*(B)], p_{2,12} = \frac{a\lambda_1}{B} [1 - g^*(B)], p_{30} = h^*(A), p_{37} \\
 &= \frac{a\lambda_1}{A} [1 - h^*(A)] = p_{32,7}, p_{39} = \frac{\alpha_0}{A} [1 - h^*(A)] = p_{3,1,9}, p_{40} = m^*(A), p_{3,10} = \frac{b\lambda_2}{A} [1 - \\
 h^*(A)] &= p_{33,10}, p_{51} = g^*(\beta_0), p_{5,16} = 1 - g^*(\beta_0), p_{4,17} = \frac{\alpha_0}{A} [1 - m^*(A)], p_{62} = f(0), p_{72} \\
 &= h^*(0), p_{83} = f^*(0), p_{93} = f^*(0), p_{10,3} = h^*(0), p_{11,3} = g^*(\beta_0), p_{11,14} = 1 - g^*(\beta_0), p_{4,18} \\
 &= \frac{b\lambda_2}{A} [1 - m^*(A)] = p_{43,18}, p_{12,2} = g^*(\beta_0), p_{12,15} = 1 - g^*(\beta_0), p_{13,1} = f(0), p_{14,3} = \\
 m^*(0), p_{4,19} &= \frac{a\lambda_1}{A} [1 - m^*(A)] = p_{42,19}, p_{15,2} = m^*(0), p_{16,4} = f(0), p_{17,4} = f(0), p_{18,3} = \\
 m^*(0), p_{19,2} &= m^*(0), p_{21,5} = \frac{\alpha_0}{B} [1 - g^*(B)] g^*(\beta_0), p_{2,16,5} = \frac{\alpha_0}{B} [1 - g^*(B)] [1 - g^*(\beta_0)], \\
 p_{23,11} &= \frac{b\lambda_2}{B} [1 - g^*(B)] [g^*(\beta_0)], p_{23,11,14} = \frac{b\lambda_2}{B} [1 - g^*(B)] [1 - g^*(\beta_0)], p_{22,12} = \frac{a\lambda_1}{B} [\\
 1 - g^*(B)] &g^*(\beta_0), p_{22,12,15} = \frac{a\lambda_1}{B} [1 - g^*(B)] [1 - g^*(\beta_0)] \text{ where } A = b\lambda_2 + a\lambda_1 + \alpha_0 \text{ and}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$B = b\lambda_2 + a\lambda_1 + \alpha_0 + \beta_0, \quad (2)$$

It can be easily verified that

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_{01} + p_{02} + p_{03} &= p_{10} + p_{16} + p_{18} + p_{1,13} = p_{20} + p_{24} + p_{25} + \\
 p_{2,11} + p_{2,12} &= p_{30} + p_{37} + p_{39} + p_{3,10} = p_{40} + p_{4,17} + p_{4,18} + p_{4,19} = p_{5,1} + p_{5,16} = p_{62} = p_{72} = p_{83} = p_{91} = \\
 p_{10,3} &= p_{11,3} + p_{11,14} = p_{12,2} + p_{12,15} = p_{13,1} = p_{14,1} = p_{15,2} = p_{16,4} = p_{17,4} = p_{18,3} = p_{19,2} = p_{10} \\
 + p_{12,6} &+ p_{11,13} + p_{13,8} = p_{20} + p_{24} + p_{21,5} + p_{2,16,5} + p_{23,11} + p_{23,11,14} + p_{22,12} + p_{22,12,15} = \\
 p_{30} + p_{31,9} &+ p_{32,7} + p_{33,10} = p_{40} + p_{4,17} + p_{42,19} + p_{43,18} = 1 \quad (3)
 \end{aligned}$$

The mean sojourn times (μ_i) in the state S_i are

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0 &= \frac{1}{A}, \mu_1 = \frac{1}{A+\alpha}, \mu_2 = \frac{1}{\theta+B}, \mu_3 = \frac{1}{A+\beta}, \mu_4 = \frac{1}{A+\gamma}, \mu'_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha}, \mu'_3 = \frac{1}{\beta}, \\ \mu'_4 &= \frac{1}{\gamma}, \mu'_2 = \frac{(A)\{-\theta^2\gamma(\theta+\beta_0)^2+\gamma\theta(B)+\beta_0(\beta_0+\theta)(\theta+B)(B) \\ &\quad -\beta_0\theta\gamma(\theta+\beta_0)(\theta+B)+(B+\beta_0)\gamma(B)(\theta+\beta_0)\}}{(B+\theta)^2+\gamma(\theta+B)^2(\theta+\beta_0)^2(B)}, \\ \mu_{16} &= \frac{1}{\alpha}, \mu_{17} = \frac{1}{\alpha}, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} m_{01} + m_{02} + m_{03} &= \mu_0 & m_{10} + m_{16} + m_{18} + m_{1.13} &= \mu_1 \\ m_{20} + m_{24} + m_{25} + m_{2.11} + m_{2.12} &= \mu_2 & m_{40} + m_{4.17} + m_{4.18} + m_{4.19} &= \mu_4 \\ m_{51} + m_{5.16} &= \mu_5 & m_{11.14} + m_{11.3} &= \mu_{11} & m_{12.15} + m_{12.2} &= \mu_{12} \\ m_{62} &= \mu_6 & m_{72} &= \mu_7, & m_{83} &= \mu_8, \\ m_{91} &= \mu_9, & m_{10.3} &= \mu_{10}, & m_{10} + m_{12.6} + m_{13.8} + m_{11.13} &= \mu'_1 \text{ (say)} \\ m_{20} + m_{24} + m_{21.5} + m_{21.5,16} + m_{22.12} + m_{22.12,15} + m_{23.11} + m_{23.11,14} &= \mu'_2 \text{ (say)} \\ m_{30} + m_{39} + m_{32.7} + m_{33.10} &= \mu'_3 \text{ (say)}, & m_{40} + m_{42.19} + m_{43.18} + m_{41.17} &= \mu'_4 \text{ (say)} \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Reliability and Mean Time to System Failure (MTSF)

Let $f_i(t)$ be the c.d.f of first passage time from the regenerative state i to a failed state. Regarding the failed state as absorbing state, we have the following recursive relation for $f_i(t)$:

$$\phi_i(t) = \sum_j Q_{i,j}(t) \otimes \phi_j(t) + \sum_k Q_{i,k}(t) \tag{6}$$

Where j is an un-failed regenerative state to which the given regenerative state i can transit and k is a failed state to which the state i can transit directly.

Taking LT of above relation (6) and solving for $\tilde{\phi}_0(s)$

We have

$$R^*(s) = \frac{1 - \tilde{\phi}_0(s)}{s} \tag{7}$$

The reliability of the system model can be obtained by taking Laplace inverse transform of (7).

The mean time to system failure (MTSF) is given by

$$MTSF = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - \tilde{\phi}_0(s)}{s} = \frac{N_1}{D_1} \tag{8}$$

where

$$N_1 = \mu_0 + p_{01}\mu_1 + p_{02}\mu_2 + p_{03}\mu_3 + p_{24}p_{02}\mu_4 \text{ and}$$

$$D_1 = 1 - p_{01}p_{10} - p_{02}p_{20} - p_{03}p_{30} - p_{02}p_{24}p_{40}$$

Steady State Availability

Let $A_i(t)$ be the probability that the system is in up-state at instant ‘t’ given that the system entered regenerative state i at $t = 0$. The recursive relations for $A_i(t)$ are given as

$$A_i(t) = M_i(t) + \sum_j q_{i,j}^{(n)}(t) \odot A_j(t) \tag{9}$$

Where j is any successive regenerative state to which the regenerative state i can transit through $n \geq 1$ (natural number) transitions. $M_i(t)$ is the probability that the system is up initially in state $S_i \in E$ is up at time t without visiting to any other regenerative state, we have

$$M_0(t) = e^{-(a\lambda_1 + b\lambda_2 + \alpha_0)t}, M_1(t) = e^{-(a\lambda_1 + b\lambda_2 + \alpha_0)t} \overline{F(t)}, M_2(t) = e^{-(a\lambda_1 + b\lambda_2 + \alpha_0 + \beta_0)t} \overline{G(t)},$$

$$M_3(t) = e^{-(a\lambda_1 + b\lambda_2 + \alpha_0)t} \overline{H(t)}, M_4(t) = e^{-(a\lambda_1 + b\lambda_2 + \alpha_0)t} \overline{M(t)} \tag{10}$$

Taking LT of above relations (9) and solving for $A_0^*(s)$, the steady state availability is given by

$$A_0(\infty) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} sA_0^*(s) = \frac{N_2}{D_2}, \tag{11}$$

where

$$N_2 = (p_{24} + p_{2,16.5}) \{ -\mu_0 [(1 - p_{11.13}) \{ (p_{32.7}p_{43.18} + p_{42.19}(1 - p_{33.10})) + p_{12.6}p_{31.9}p_{43.18} - p_{13.8}p_{31.9}p_{42.19} \}] - \mu_1 [p_{01}(p_{32.7}p_{43.18} + p_{42.19}(1 - p_{33.10})) - p_{02}p_{31.9}p_{43.18} + p_{03}p_{31.9}p_{42.19}] - \mu_3 [(p_{01}) \{ (p_{12.6}p_{43.18} - p_{13.8}p_{42.19}) + (1 - p_{11.13})p_{02}p_{43.18} - (1 - p_{11.13})p_{03}p_{42.19} \}] + \mu_4 [p_{01}(p_{32.7}p_{13.8} + p_{12.6} (1 - p_{33.10})) - p_{02}(-p_{31.9}p_{13.8} + (1 - p_{33.10})(1 - p_{11.13})) + p_{03}((1 - p_{11.13})p_{32.7} + p_{31.9}p_{12.6})] \} +$$

$$(1-p_{4.17})\{ \mu_0[(1-p_{11.13}) \{(1-p_{22.12}p_{22.12,15}) (1-p_{33.10})- p_{32.7}(p_{23.11} + p_{23.11,14})\}-p_{12.6}\{p_{21.5}(1-p_{33.10})- p_{31.9}(p_{23.11} + p_{23.11,14})\}-p_{13.8}\{p_{32.7}p_{21.5}+p_{31.9}(1-p_{22.12}p_{22.12,15})\}] + \mu_1\{p_{01}[(1-p_{22.12}p_{22.12,15}) (1-p_{33.10})- p_{32.7}(p_{23.11} + p_{23.11,14})]+ p_{02}[p_{21.5}(1-p_{33.10})+ p_{31.9}(p_{23.11} + p_{23.11,14})]+p_{03}[p_{32.7}p_{21.5}+p_{31.9}(1-p_{22.12}p_{22.12,15})]\} + \mu_2\{p_{01}[p_{12.6}(1-p_{33.10})-p_{32.7}p_{13.8}]- p_{02}[(1-p_{11.13})(1-p_{33.10})- p_{31.9}p_{13.8}]+p_{03}[(1-p_{11.13})p_{32.7}+p_{31.9}p_{12.6}]\} + \mu_3\{p_{01}[(p_{23.11} + p_{23.11,14})p_{12.6}-(1-p_{22.12}p_{22.12,15})p_{13.8}]+ p_{02}[(1-p_{11.13}) (p_{23.11} + p_{23.11,14})+ p_{13.8} p_{21.5}]+p_{03}[-p_{12.6}p_{21.5}+(1-p_{11.13})(1-p_{22.12}p_{22.12,15})]\} \}$$

and

$$D_2 = (p_{24} + p_{2,16.5})\{ \mu_0[(1-p_{11.13})\{ (p_{32.7}p_{43.18} + p_{42.19}(1-p_{33.10})) + p_{12.6}p_{31.9}p_{43.18} - p_{13.8}p_{31.9}p_{42.19}]- \mu_1 [p_{01}(p_{32.7}p_{43.18}+p_{42.19}(1-p_{33.10}))- p_{02}p_{31.9}p_{43.18} + p_{03}p_{31.9}p_{42.19}] + \mu_3 [p_{01}\{ (p_{12.6}p_{43.18} - p_{13.8}p_{42.19})+ (1-p_{11.13})p_{02}p_{43.18}-(1-p_{11.13})p_{03}p_{42.19}\}] + (\mu_4 + p_{23.11} \mu_{17})[p_{01}(p_{32.7}p_{13.8} + p_{12.6}(1-p_{33.10}))+ p_{02}(-p_{31.9}p_{13.8} + (1-p_{33.10}) (1-p_{11.13}))+ p_{03} ((1-p_{11.13})p_{32.7} + p_{31.9}p_{12.6})\}]+(1-p_{4.17})\{ \mu_0[(1-p_{11.13}) \{(1-p_{22.12}p_{22.12,15}) (1-p_{33.10})- p_{32.7}(p_{23.11} + p_{23.11,14})\}-p_{12.6}\{p_{21.5}(1-p_{33.10})+p_{31.9}(p_{23.11} + p_{23.11,14})\}-p_{13.8}\{p_{32.7}p_{21.5}+p_{31.9}(1-p_{22.12}p_{22.12,15})\}] + \{p_{01}[(1-p_{22.12}p_{22.12,15}) (1-p_{33.10})- p_{32.7}(p_{23.11} + p_{23.11,14})]+ p_{02}[p_{21.5}(1-p_{33.10})+ p_{31.9}(p_{23.11} + p_{23.11,14})]+p_{03}[p_{32.7}p_{21.5}+p_{31.9}(1-p_{22.12}p_{22.12,15})]\} + O\{p_{01}[p_{12.6}(1-p_{33.10})- p_{32.7}p_{13.8}]+ p_{02}[(1-p_{11.13})(1-p_{33.10})-p_{31.9}p_{13.8}]+p_{03}[(1-p_{11.13})p_{32.7}+p_{31.9}p_{12.6}]\} + \{p_{01}[(p_{23.11} + p_{23.11,14})p_{12.6}+ (1-p_{22.12}p_{22.12,15})p_{13.8}]+ p_{02}[(1-p_{11.13}) (p_{23.11} + p_{23.11,14})+ p_{13.8} p_{21.5}]+p_{03}[-p_{12.6}p_{21.5}+(1-p_{11.13}) (1-p_{22.12}p_{22.12,15})]\} \}$$

Busy Period Analysis for Server

Let $B_i^P(t)$ $B_i^R(t)$ $B_i^S(t)$ and $B_i^{HRP}(t)$ be the probabilities that the server is busy in Preventive maintenance of the system, repairing the unit due to hardware failure, replacement of the software and hardware components at an instant ‘t’ given that the system entered state i at t = 0. The recursive relations for $B_i^P(t)$ $B_i^R(t)$ $B_i^S(t)$ and $B_i^{HRP}(t)$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_i^P(t) &= W_i(t) + \sum_j q_{i,j}^{(n)}(t) \odot B_j^P(t) \\
 B_i^R(t) &= W_i(t) + \sum_j q_{i,j}^{(n)}(t) \odot B_j^R(t) \\
 B_i^S(t) &= W_i(t) + \sum_j q_{i,j}^{(n)}(t) \odot B_j^S(t) \\
 B_i^{HRP}(t) &= W_i(t) + \sum_j q_{i,j}^{(n)}(t) \odot B_j^{HRP}(t)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{12}$$

Where j is any successive regenerative state to which the regenerative state i can transit through $n \geq 1$ (natural number) transitions. $W_i(t)$ be the probability that the server is busy in state S_i due to preventive maintenance, hardware and software failure up to time t without making any transition to any other regenerative state or returning to the same via one or more non-regenerative states and so

$$\begin{aligned}
 W_1 &= e^{-(a\lambda_1+b\lambda_2+\alpha_0)t} \bar{F}(t) + (\alpha_0 e^{-(a\lambda_1+b\lambda_2+\alpha_0)t} \odot 1) \bar{F}(t) + (a\lambda_1 e^{-(a\lambda_1+b\lambda_2+\alpha_0)t} \odot 1) \bar{F}(t) + (b\lambda_2 e^{-(a\lambda_1+b\lambda_2+\alpha_0)t} \odot 1) \bar{F}(t) \\
 W_2 &= e^{-(a\lambda_1+b\lambda_2+\alpha_0+\beta_0)t} \bar{G}(t) + (\alpha_0 e^{-(a\lambda_1+b\lambda_2+\alpha_0+\beta_0)t} \odot 1) \bar{G}(t) + (a\lambda_1 e^{-(a\lambda_1+b\lambda_2+\alpha_0+\beta_0)t} \odot 1) \bar{G}(t) + (b\lambda_2 e^{-(a\lambda_1+b\lambda_2+\alpha_0+\beta_0)t} \odot 1) \bar{G}(t) \\
 W_3 &= e^{-(a\lambda_1+b\lambda_2+\alpha_0)t} \bar{H}(t) + (\alpha_0 e^{-(a\lambda_1+b\lambda_2+\alpha_0)t} \odot 1) \bar{H}(t) + (a\lambda_1 e^{-(a\lambda_1+b\lambda_2+\alpha_0)t} \odot 1) \bar{H}(t) + (b\lambda_2 e^{-(a\lambda_1+b\lambda_2+\alpha_0)t} \odot 1) \bar{H}(t) \\
 W_4 &= e^{-(a\lambda_1+b\lambda_2+\alpha_0)t} \bar{M}(t) + (\alpha_0 e^{-(a\lambda_1+b\lambda_2+\alpha_0)t} \odot 1) \bar{M}(t) + (a\lambda_1 e^{-(a\lambda_1+b\lambda_2+\alpha_0)t} \odot 1) \bar{M}(t) + (b\lambda_2 e^{-(a\lambda_1+b\lambda_2+\alpha_0)t} \odot 1) \bar{M}(t) \\
 W_{16} &= \bar{F}(t), \quad W_{17} = \bar{F}(t)
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking LT of above relations (12) and solving for $B_i^P(t)$, $B_i^R(t)$, $B_i^S(t)$ and $B_i^{HRP}(t)$ the time for which server is busy due to PM, h/w repair and h/w and s/w replacements respectively is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_0^H &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} s B_0^{*H}(s) = \frac{N_3^H}{D_2}, \quad B_0^S = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} s B_0^{*S}(s) = \frac{N_3^S}{D_2}, \quad B_0^R = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} s B_0^{*R}(s) = \frac{N_3^R}{D_2} \\
 \text{and } B_0^{HRP} &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} s B_0^{*HRP}(s) = \frac{N_s^{HRP}}{D_2} \tag{13}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_3^P(t) &= (p_{24} + p_{2,16,5}) \{ W_1^*(0) [- p_{01} \{ p_{32,7} p_{43,18} + p_{42,19} (1 - p_{33,10}) \} + p_{02} p_{31,9} p_{43,18} - \\
 & p_{03} p_{31,9} p_{42,19}] + W_{17}^*(0) p_{4,17} [p_{01} (p_{32,7} p_{13,8} + p_{12,6} (1 - p_{33,10})) + p_{02} (-p_{31,9} p_{13,8} + (1 - p_{33,10}) \\
 & (1 - p_{11,13})) + p_{03} ((1 - p_{11,13}) p_{32,7} + p_{31,9} p_{12,6})] + (1 - p_{4,17}) \{ [p_{01} \{ (1 - p_{22,12} - p_{22,12,15}) (1 - p_{33,10}) - \\
 & p_{32,7} (p_{23,11} + p_{23,11,14}) \} + p_{02} \{ p_{21,5} (1 - p_{33,10}) + p_{31,9} (p_{23,11} + p_{23,11,14}) \} + p_{03} \{ p_{32,7} p_{21,5} + p_{31,9} (1 - \\
 & p_{22,12} - p_{22,12,15}) \}] + \{ p_{01} [p_{12,6} (1 - p_{33,10}) + p_{32,7} p_{13,8}] + p_{02} [(1 - p_{11,13}) (1 - p_{33,10}) - p_{31,9} p_{13,8}] + p_{03} [(1 - \\
 & p_{11,13}) p_{32,7} + p_{31,9} p_{12,6}] \} \}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_3^R(t) &= (1 - p_{4,17}) W_2^*(0) [p_{01} \{ p_{32,7} p_{13,8} + p_{12,6} (1 - p_{33,10}) \} + p_{02} \{ -p_{31,9} p_{13,8} + \\
 & (1 - p_{33,10}) (1 - p_{11,13}) \} + p_{03} \{ (1 - p_{11,13}) p_{32,7} + p_{31,9} p_{12,6} \}]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_3^S(t) &= W_3^*(0) \{ (p_{24} + p_{2,16,5}) [p_{01} \{ p_{12,6} p_{43,18} - p_{13,8} p_{42,19} \} + p_{02} (1 - p_{11,13}) p_{43,18} - \\
 & (1 - p_{11,13}) p_{03} p_{42,19}] + (1 - p_{4,17}) [p_{01} (p_{32,7} p_{13,8} + p_{12,6} (1 - p_{33,10})) + p_{02} (-p_{31,9} p_{13,8} + (1 - p_{33,10}) \\
 & (1 - p_{11,13})) + p_{03} ((1 - p_{11,13}) p_{32,7} + p_{31,9} p_{12,6})] + (1 - p_{4,17}) [p_{01} \{ p_{12,6} (p_{23,11} + p_{23,11,14}) + \\
 & p_{13,8} (1 - p_{22,12} - p_{22,12,15}) \} + p_{02} \{ (p_{23,11} + p_{23,11,14}) (1 - p_{11,13}) + p_{13,8} p_{21,5} \} + p_{03} \{ (1 - p_{22,12} - \\
 & p_{22,12,15}) (1 - p_{11,13}) - p_{21,5} p_{12,6} \} \} \}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$N_3^{HRp}(t) = (p_{24} + p_{2,16,5})W_4^*(0) [p_{01} \{ p_{32,7}p_{13,8} + p_{12,6}(1-p_{33,10}) \} + p_{02} \{ -p_{31,9}p_{13,8} + (1-p_{33,10}) (1- p_{11,13}) \} + p_{03} \{ (1- p_{11,13})p_{32,7} + p_{31,9}p_{12,6} \}]$$

Expected Number of Replacements of the Units

Let $R_i^H(t)$ and $R_i^S(t)$ the expected number of replacements of the failed hardware and software components by the server in $(0, t]$ given that the system entered the regenerative state i at $t = 0$.

The recursive relations for are given as

$$R_i^H(t) = \sum_j q_{i,j}^{(n)}(t) \otimes [\delta_j + R_j^H(t)] ,$$

$$R_i^S(t) = \sum_j q_{i,j}^{(n)}(t) \otimes [\delta_j + R_j^S(t)] \tag{15}$$

Where j is any regenerative state to which the given regenerative state i transits and $\delta_j=1$, if j is the regenerative state where the server does job afresh, otherwise $\delta_j=0$.

Taking LT of relations and, solving for $\tilde{R}_0^H(s)$ and $\tilde{R}_0^S(s)$. The expected numbers of replacements per unit time to the hardware and software failures are respectively of given by

$$R_0^H(\infty) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} s\tilde{R}_0^H(s) = \frac{N_4^H}{D_2} \text{ and}$$

$$R_0^S(\infty) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} s\tilde{R}_0^S(s) = \frac{N_4^S}{D_2} \tag{16}$$

Where D_2 is already mentioned.

$$N_4^H(t) = (p_{22,12,15} + p_{23,11,14}) (1-p_{4,17}) [p_{01}(p_{32,7}p_{13,8} + p_{12,6}(1-p_{33,10})) + p_{02}(-p_{31,9}p_{13,8} + (1-p_{33,10}) (1- p_{11,13})) + p_{03} ((1- p_{11,13})p_{32,7} + p_{31,9}p_{12,6})] + (p_{24} + p_{2,16,5}) (p_{40} + p_{4,2,19} + p_{4,3,18}) [p_{01}(p_{32,7}p_{13,8} + p_{12,6}(1-p_{33,10})) + p_{02}(-p_{31,9}p_{13,8} + (1-p_{33,10}) (1- p_{11,13})) + p_{03} ((1- p_{11,13})p_{32,7} + p_{31,9}p_{12,6})]$$

$$N_4^S(t) = (p_{24} + p_{2,16,5}) [p_{01}(p_{12,6}p_{43,18} - p_{4,2,19}p_{13,8}) + p_{02}p_{4,3,18}(1- p_{11,13}) - p_{03} (1- p_{11,13})p_{4,2,19}] + (1-p_{4,17}) [p_{01} \{ (p_{23,11} + p_{23,11,14})p_{1,2,6} - (1-p_{22,12}-p_{22,12,15})p_{13,8} \} + p_{02} \{ p_{21,5}p_{2,1,5} + (1- p_{11,13})(p_{23,11} + p_{23,11,14}) \} + p_{03} \{ -p_{1,2,6}p_{21,5} + (1- p_{11,13}) (1-p_{22,12}-p_{22,12,15}) \}]$$

Expected Number of Visits by the Server

Let $N_i(t)$ be the expected number of visits by the server in $(0, t]$ given that the system entered the regenerative state i at $t = 0$. The recursive relations for $N_i(t)$ are given as

$$N_i(t) = \sum_j q_{i,j}^{(n)}(t) \otimes [\delta_j + N_j(t)] \tag{17}$$

Where j is any regenerative state to which the given regenerative state i transits and $\delta_j=1$, if j is the regenerative state where the server does job afresh, otherwise $\delta_j=0$.

Taking LT of relation (20) and solving for $\tilde{N}_0(s)$. The expected number of visit per unit time by the server are given by

$$N_0(\infty) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} s \tilde{N}_0(s) = \frac{N_5}{D_2}, \text{ where} \tag{18}$$

$$N_5 = (p_{24} + p_{2,16,5}) [p_{12,8} p_{31,9} p_{42,19} - p_{31,9} p_{4,3,18} p_{12,6}] + p_{02} p_{4,3,18} (1 - p_{11,13}) - p_{03} (1 - p_{11,13}) p_{4,2,19} + (1 - p_{4,17}) [(1 - p_{11,13}) \{ - (p_{23,11} + p_{23,11,14}) p_{32,7} + (1 - p_{33,10}) (1 - p_{22,12} p_{22,12,15}) \} - p_{12,6} \{ p_{21,5} (1 - p_{33,10}) + p_{31,9} (p_{23,11} + p_{23,11,14}) \} - p_{13,8} \{ p_{32,7} p_{21,5} + p_{31,9} (1 - p_{22,12} p_{22,12,15}) \}]$$

9. Economic Analysis

The profit incurred to the system model in steady state can be obtained as

$$P = K_0 A_0 - K_1 B_0^P - K_2 B_0^R - K_3 B_0^S - K_4 B_0^{HRP} - K_5 R_0^H - K_6 R_0^S - K_7 N_0 \tag{19}$$

K_0 = Revenue per unit up-time of the system

K_1 = Cost per unit time for which server is busy due preventive maintenance

K_2 = Cost per unit time for which server is busy due to hardware failure

K_3 = Cost per unit replacement of the failed software component

K_4 = Cost per unit replacement of the failed hardware component

K_5 = Cost per unit replacement of the failed hardware


K_6 = Cost per unit replacement of the failed software

K_7 = Cost per unit visit by the server

Conclusion




For a particular case, the numerical results for some reliability and economic measures are obtained for a computer system of two identical units in which h/w and s/w components fails independently. The graphs for these measures are drawn with respect to preventive maintenance rate (α) for fixed values of other parameters as shown in figs. 2 to 4. It is revealed that MTSF, Availability and profit increase with the increase of PM rate (α) and repair rate (θ) of the hardware components. But their values with the increase of maximum operation time (α_0). However if we increase the constant rate of repair time (β_0), the value of MTSF becomes more while the values of

Fig.1 State Transition Diagram



availability and profit are decline .Thus the study suggested that the reliability and profit of a computer system of identical units can be improved by

- (i) Reducing the repair time of the h/w.
- (ii) Giving priority to replacement of the h/w components over preventive maintenance of the unit.



Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to the worthy referee for his useful suggestion for the improvement of the paper.

References

- [1] Friedman, M. A. and Tran, P.(1992). Reliability techniques for combined hardware/software systems, *Proc. Of Annual Reliability and Maintainability Symposium*, 290-293.
- [2] Malik, S. C. and Ashish Kumar(2011). Profit analysis of a computer system with priority to software replacement over hardware repair subject to maximum operation and repair times, *International Journal of Engineering Science & Technology* 3(10), 7452- 7468.
- [3] Malik, S. C. and Jyoti Anand (2010). Reliability and economic analysis of a computer system with independent hardware and software failures, *Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences* 29 E (Math. & Stat.)(1), 141-153.
- [4] Malik, S. C. and Nandal, P.(2010). Cost- Analysis of Stochastic Models with Priority to Repair Over Preventive Maintenance Subject to Maximum Operation Time, Edited Book, Learning Manual on Modeling, Optimization and Their Applications, *Excel India Publishers*, 165-178.
- [5] Singh, S. K. and Agrafiotis, G. K.(1995). Stochastic analysis of a two-unit cold standby system subject to maximum operation and repair time, *Microelectron.Reliab.* 35(12), 1489-1493.
- [6] Welke, S. R.; Labib, S. W. and Ahmed, A. M.(1995). Reliability modeling of hardware/software system, *IEEE Transactions on Reliability* 44(3), 413-418.