

ON CR-STRUCTURE AND $F(2v+5,1)$ -STRUCTURE SATISFYING $F^{2v+5} + F = 0$

Abhiram Shukla

Department of Mathematics and Astronomy University of Lucknow, Lucknow. U P
 Email: abhiram1975@gmail.com

Ram Nivas

Abstract: The purpose of this paper is to show a relationship between CR-structures and $F(2v + 5, 1)$ -structure satisfying $F^{2v+5} + F = 0$

Keywords: CR-submanifolds, CR-structure, Nijenhuis Tensor.

1. Introduction

CR-submanifolds of a kahlerian manifold have been defined by Bejancu [1], and are now being studied by various authors viz. Blair and Chen [2], Yano and Kon [9]. The theory of F -structure was developed by Yano [10], Yano and Ishihara [11], Das [3], Goldberg [6], and $(k+1)$ structure was developed by Das and Nivas [4].

Let F be a non-zero tensor field of the type $(1, 1)$ and of class C^∞ on an n -dimensional manifold M (Nikkie [7]).

$$F(2v+5) + F = 0, \tag{1}$$

The rank of $(F) = r = \text{constant}$.

Let us define the operators on M as follows [7]

$$\begin{aligned} l &= -F(2v+4), \\ m &= I + F(2v+4). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Where I denotes the identity operator. We state the following two theorems[7]

Theorem 1.1. Let M be an $F(2v + 5, 1)$ -structure manifold satisfying(1), then

$$\begin{aligned} l + m &= I \\ l^2 &= l, m^2 = m \\ \text{And } lm &= ml = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Thus for $(1, 1)$ tensor field $F(\neq 0)$ satisfying (1), there exist complementary distributions D_l and D_m corresponding to the projection operators l and m respectively. Then, $\dim D_l = r$ and $\dim D_m = (n - r)$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C40, 53D10. Key words and phrases. CR-sub-manifolds, CR-structures, Integrability conditions, Nijenhuis Tensor.

Theorem 1.2. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a- } lF &= Fl, mF = Fm = 0 \\ \text{b- } F^{2v+4}m &= 0 \\ \text{c- } F^{2v+4}l &= -1 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Thus $Fv+2$ acts on Dl as an almost complex structure and on Dm as a null operator.

2. Nijenhuis Tensor

The Nijenhuis tensor $N(X, Y)$ of F satisfying (1) in M is expressed as follows for every vector field X, Y on M .

$$N(X, Y) = [FX, FY] - F[FX, Y] - F[X, FY] + F^2[X, Y] \quad (5)$$

Definition 2.1. If X, Y are two vector fields in M , then their Lie bracket $[X, Y]$

$$\text{is defined by} \quad [X, Y] = XY - YX \quad (6)$$

3. CR-Structure

Let M be a differentiable manifold and TcM be its complexified tangent bundle. A CR-structure on M is a complex subbundle H of TcM such that $HP \cap H^{\bar{p}} = 0$ and H is involutive i.e. for complex vector fields X and Y in H , $[X, Y]$ is in H . In this case, we say M is a CR-manifold. [5,8]

Let F -structure given by equation (1) be an integrable structure of rank $r = 2m$ on M . We define complex sub bundle H of TcM by

$$HP = \{X - \sqrt{-1}FX, X \in \chi(Dl)\}, \text{ where } \chi(Dl) \text{ is the } F(Dm) \text{ module}$$

of all differentiable sections of Dl then $\text{Re}(H) = Dl$ and $HP \cap H^{\bar{p}} = 0$,

where $H^{\bar{p}}$ denotes the complex conjugate of HP .

Theorem 3.1. If P and Q are two elements of H then the following relations holds

$$[P, Q] = [X, Y] - [FX, FY] - \sqrt{-1}([X, FY] + [FX, Y]) \quad (7)$$

Proof. Let us define $P = X - \sqrt{-1}FX$ and $Q = Y - \sqrt{-1}FY$, then by direct calculation and on simplifying, we obtain

$$[P, Q] = [X - \sqrt{-1}FX, Y - \sqrt{-1}FY] = [X, Y] - [FX, FY] - \sqrt{-1}([X, FY] + [FX, Y])$$

Theorem 3.2. If $F(2v+5, 1)$ -structure satisfying equation (1) is integrable then

$$\text{we have} \quad -F^{2v+3}([FX, FY] + F^2[X, Y]) = l([FX, Y] + [X, FY]). \quad (8)$$

Proof. From equation (5), we have

$$N(X, Y) = [F X, F Y] - F[F X, Y] - F[X, F Y] + F^2[X, Y].$$

Since $N(X, Y) = 0$, we obtain

$$[F X, F Y] + F^2[X, Y] = F([F X, Y] + [X, F Y]). \quad (9)$$

Operating (3.3) by $(-F^{2v+3})$, we get

$$(-F^{2v+3})([F X, F Y] + F^2[X, Y]) = (-F^{2v+4})([F X, Y] + [X, F Y])$$

In view of equation (2) in the above equation, we obtain (8), which proves the theorem.

Theorem 3.3. The following identities hold

$$mN(X, Y) = m[F X, F Y]. \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} mN(F^{2v+3}X, Y) \\ = m[F^{2v+4}X, F Y]. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Proof. The proof of equations (10) and (11) follows easily by virtue of theorems 1.1, 1.2 and equation (5).

Theorem 3.4. For any two vector fields X and Y , the following conditions are equivalent

- a. $mN(X, Y) = 0$,
- b. $m[F X, F Y] = 0$,
- c. $mN(F^{2v+3}X, Y) = 0$, (12)
- d. $m[F^{2v+4}X, F Y] = 0$,
- e. $m[F^{2v+4}IX, F Y] = 0$.

Proof. In consequence of equations (1), (2), (5) and theorems 1.2, 3.3, the above identities can be proved to be equivalent.

Theorem 3.5. If F^{v+2} acts on D_1 as an almost complex structure, then

$$m[F^{v+2}IX, F Y] = m[\sqrt{-1}X, F Y] = 0. \quad (13)$$

Proof. In view of equations (4) we see that F^{v+2} acts on D_1 as an almost complex structure then equation (12) follows in an obvious manner. To show that $m[F^{v+2}IX, F Y] = 0$, we use the definition 2.1 and in view of equation (4), the result follows directly.

Theorem 3.6. For $X, Y \in \chi(D_1)$, we have

$$I([X, F Y] + [F X, Y]) = [X, F Y] + [F X, Y] \quad (14)$$

Proof. Since $[X, F Y]$ and $[F X, Y] \in \chi(D_1)$, on making use of (4) and definition 2.1 we obtain the result.

Theorem 3.7. The integrable $F(2v+5, 1)$ -structure satisfying (1)

on M defines a CR-structure H on it such that $\text{Re}H = D_1$.

Proof. In view of the fact that $[X, F Y]$ and $[F X, Y] \in \chi(DI)$ and on using equations (7), (8) and theorem 3.6, we have $[P, Q] \in \chi(DI)$. Then $F(2v+5, 1)$ -structure satisfying (1) on M defines a CR-structure.

Definition 3.8. Let \tilde{K} be the complementary distribution of $R_e(H)$ to TM . We define a morphism of vector bundles $F: TM \rightarrow TM$ given by $F(X) = 0 \forall X \in \chi(\tilde{K})$ such that

$$F(X) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1}(P - \bar{P}) \text{ where } P = X + \sqrt{-1}Y, Y \in X(H_p) \text{ and } \bar{P} \text{ is complex conjugate of } P.$$

Corollary 3.9.[3] If $P = X + \sqrt{-1}Y$ and $\bar{P} = X - \sqrt{-1}Y$ belong to H_p and

$$F(X) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1}(P - \bar{P}), F(Y) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1}(P + \bar{P})$$

$$\text{And } F(-Y) = -\frac{1}{2}(P + \bar{P}) \text{ then } F(X) = -Y, F^2(X) = -X \text{ and } F(-Y) = -X$$

Theorem 3.10. If M has a CR-structure H , then we have $F(2v+5) + F = 0$ and consequently $F(2v+5, 1)$ -structure satisfying (1) is defined on M such that the distributions DI and Dm coincide with $Re(H)$ and Ke respectively.

Proof. Suppose M has a CR-structure. Then in view of definition 3.8

and corollary 3.9, we have

$$F(X) = -Y. \tag{15}$$

Operating (15) by $F(2v)$ we get

$$F(2v)(F(X)) = F(2v)(-Y) \tag{16}$$

We can write the right hand side of (16) as follows

$$F(2v+1)(X) = F(2v-1)(F(-Y)) \tag{17}$$

On making use of corollary 3.9, the above equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} F(2v+1)(X) &= F(2v-1)(-X) \\ &= -F(2v-1)(X), \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

which can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} F(2v+1)(X) &= -F(2v-2)(F(X)) \\ &= -F(2v-2)(-Y) \\ &= F(2v-2)(Y) \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

We continue simplifying in this manner and obtain

$$F(2v+1)(X) = -F(X) \tag{20}$$

i.e

$$F(2v+1)(X) + F(X) = 0. \tag{21}$$

Similarly we have $F^{2v+3}(X) = F^{2v+1}(-X)$

$$= -F^{2v+1}(X) \quad (22)$$

i.e $F^{2v+3}(X) = -F^{2v}(F(X))$

$$= -F^{2v}(-Y) \quad (23)$$

$$= F^{2v}(Y)$$

We continue simplifying in this manner and obtain

$$F^{2v+3}(X) = -F(X) \quad (24)$$

$$F^{2v+3}(X) + F(X) = 0. \quad (25)$$

Again, we continue simplifying in this manner and obtain,

$$F^{2v+5}(X) + F(X) = 0. \quad (26)$$

Acknowledgement: The authors are thankful to the Referee for valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] Bejancu, A. (1978). "CR-Submanifolds of Kaehler manifold", I. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 69, No.1, 135-142.
- [2] Blair, D.E. and Chen, B.Y. (1979). "On CR-submanifolds of Hermitian manifolds", Israel Journal of Mathematics. Vol. 34 No. 4, pp. 353-363.
- [3] Das, L.S. (2004). "On CR-structure and F-structure satisfying $FK + (-)K + 1 = 0$ ", Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics. USA.
- [4] Das, L.S. and Nivas, R. (2004). "On differentiable manifold with $[F_1, F_2](K + 1, 1)$ -structure", Tensor, N.S. Volume 65(1), pp. 29-35.
- [5] Das, L.S., Nivas, R. and Singh, A. (2008). "On CR-structures and F-structure satisfying $F^{4n} + F^{4n-1} + \dots + F^2 + F = 0$, Tensor", N. S., Vol. 70, 255-260.
- [6] Goldberg, S.I. (1972). "On the existence of manifold with an F-structure" Tensor, N.S. 26 pp. 323-329.
- [7] Nikkie, J. (1995). "F $(2k + 1, 1)$ -structure on the Lagrangian space FILOMAT (Nis)", pp. 161-167.
- [8] Singh, A. (2009). "On CR-structures and F-structure satisfying $F^{2K} + P + F^P = 0$ ", Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, Vol. 4, No. 21, 1029-1035.
- [9] Yano, K. and Kon, M. (1981). "Differential Geometry of CR-submanifolds Geometriae Dedicata", Vol. 10, pp. 369-391.
- [10] Yano, K. (1963). "On structure defined by a tensor field F of type (1,1) satisfying $F^3 + F = 0$ ", Tensor, N. S., 14 pp. 99-109.
- [11] Yano, K. and Ishihara, S. (1964). "On integrability of a structure F satisfying $F^3 + F = 0$ ", Quart J. Math., Oxford, 25 pp. 217-222.